

Market Review

Rising Rates, Resilient Growth

Markets weakened as bond yields surged, but earnings outlook remains intact.

September 2026

Key Observations

- *September brought broad weakness across financial markets as persistent inflation, the war in Iran, and the Federal Reserve's 25-basis-point rate hike drove Treasury yields sharply higher and pressured both stocks and bonds.*
- *U.S. and international equities generally moved lower, with smaller companies and developed non-U.S. markets under greater pressure. Emerging markets were more resilient, while commodities were the only major asset class to advance.*
- *The AI capital-spending cycle remains a meaningful source of economic and earnings momentum, but depleted free cash flow is pushing more companies toward debt financing and increasing scrutiny of leverage, borrowing costs, and the durability of future returns.*
- *The Fed faces an unusually difficult policy backdrop: AI infrastructure spending may remain relatively insensitive to modest changes in borrowing costs, while geopolitical instability and higher energy prices are adding inflationary pressure that tighter financial conditions cannot directly resolve.*

Market Recap

September was marked by broad weakness across financial markets as investors continued to contend with a sell-off in bonds, lingering uncertainty surrounding inflation, and the ongoing war in Iran. As expected, the Federal Reserve moved forward with a 25-basis points rate hike and signaled that additional hikes may be necessary to contend with a recent resurgence of inflationary pressure. Bond yields surged throughout the month, with the benchmark 10-year Treasury yield rising by over 50bps and ending the month just below 5.30%, a level not seen since 2007. With financing costs moving starkly higher and geopolitical uncertainty remaining elevated, most major equity and fixed income benchmarks shed value during September. Commodities were the only major asset class to post a positive monthly return, buoyed by elevated oil prices.

Financial Market Performance

Index	September	YTD
S&P 500	-0.3%	12.7%
Russell 2000	-5.3%	13.7%
MSCI EAFE	-3.1%	10.3%
MSCI EM	-0.6%	23.4%
Bloomberg U.S. Agg Bond	-2.6%	-2.9%
Bloomberg U.S. HY Corp Bond	-2.5%	0.1%
FTSE NAREIT All Equity REITs	-5.7%	7.9%
Bloomberg Commodity	0.6%	32.9%

Source: Morningstar Direct. As of September 30, 2026.

U.S. equities were mixed but generally softer. The S&P 500 declined 0.3%, though the index remained up 12.7% year-to-date. Smaller companies – which tend to carry more leverage and are thus more impacted by rising interest rates – experienced larger losses, with the Russell 2000 falling 5.3% during the month. Even after the decline, small caps retained their advantage over large caps, ending the month with a 13.7% year-to-date gain.

International markets also moved lower. The MSCI EAFE Index returned -3.1% in September, reducing its year-to-date advance to 10.3%. Emerging markets proved more resilient, with the MSCI Emerging Markets Index declining by a more modest 0.6%. Emerging Markets remain the strongest equity performer for the year, up 23.4% through September.

Fixed income came under pressure during the month as markets firmly priced in a Fed hiking cycle and began demanding a meaningfully larger term premium. The Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Bond Index fell 2.6% and has now returned -2.9% for the year. Credit did not fare much better, as high yield bonds declined 2.5%, though the Bloomberg U.S. Corporate High Yield Index held onto a slight 0.1% year-to-date.

Real assets again told two different stories. Tightening financial conditions were a drag on listed real estate performance, as the FTSE NAREIT All Equity REITs Index fell 5.7%, though it remained up 7.9% year-to-date. Commodities were the month's lone advancer, as the Bloomberg Commodity Index gained 0.6% and extended its year-to-date return to 32.9%.

What's Next for the AI Capex Cycle?

The AI capex boom has been a key driver of equity market performance over the past 24 months and continues to show no sign of abating. According to Oxford Economics, cumulative AI-related investment has exceeded 2% of US GDP over the past three years¹, while the IMF estimates that AI-related technology investment added 0.5 percentage points to U.S. GDP growth in 2025². The impact on corporate earnings has been even more profound, with the S&P 500 expected to produce a third consecutive quarter of YoY earnings growth in excess of 25%. The tremendous momentum in earnings has been a key pillar of support for equity markets despite a backdrop of tightening financial conditions and elevated geopolitical uncertainty. It has also allowed equity markets to post double digit returns in 2026 without a commensurate expansion in valuation multiples.



Source: FactSet. Data through Q2 2026.


The scale of the AI capex boom is significant in a historical context, and the incredible explosion in earnings growth that has accompanied it introduces an important distinction from the past. But the conversation is now maturing, and the question is shifting from how much companies will spend on AI infrastructure to whether that spending will earn attractive returns and how it will be financed. In the past, we've discussed this trend in our market outlook webinars and monthly updates. The general message was that AI spending was primarily being funded from the operating cash flows of some of the most durable and successful businesses in economic history. While that remains true, there is increasing evidence that the massive capital expenditures being pushed into AI infrastructure are having a profound impact on the financial flexibility and capital structure of many businesses in the ecosystem.

¹ Oxford Economics, "Is an AI downturn unavoidable?", September 29, 2026.

² International Monetary Fund, 2026 Annual Report, "AI: Deployment and Disruption."

Consensus expectations for free cash flow across the major hyperscalers have now collapsed into negative territory due to AI-related capital expenditures. With available free cash flow depleted, companies are increasingly turning to debt markets as a source of capital. Keen observers of credit markets will note that credit spreads for the largest cloud and AI companies have widened relative to other high-quality corporate borrowers. Financing costs remain manageable for investment grade issuers, but with the Fed now entering a hiking cycle, markets are becoming more discerning about rising leverage, negative free cash flow and an uncertain payback on rapidly depreciating assets.

Hyperscaler 12 Month Forward Free Cash Flow



Source: Bloomberg Finance, LP. Data through Q2 2026.

The companies shown are included for illustrative purposes only and do not constitute a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security.

None of this suggests an imminent slowdown, but it reinforces the need for investors to think critically about risks and maintain proper balance in portfolios. Debt can bridge the gap between construction and future revenue, but it can also make that gap less forgiving. Interest and principal payments remain due even if customer adoption takes longer than expected or the anticipated productivity gains do not materialize.

We have pointed out in recent publications that the increased breadth of markets in 2026 is a positive sign of market resilience, and it is difficult to argue that prices have obviously run away from fundamentals. Between 2005 and 2025, the trailing 12-month P/E ratio of the S&P 500 expanded 58% from 17.5x to 27.6x. On the surface, this would suggest markets became exorbitantly expensive over that time frame. But considering profit margins increased by roughly the same amount, a reasonable argument could be made that vastly improved fundamentals and earnings power justify a higher price. Much of that improvement can be attributed to the proliferation of technology and the AI buildout.

S&P 500 P/E Ratio & Profit Margin		
	P/E Ratio	Profit Margin
2005	17.5x	7.50%
2025	27.6x	11.80%
Percent Increase	58%	57%

Source: Bloomberg Finance, LP. Data through YE 2025.

As the AI capex boom continues to evolve, investors need to strike the difficult balance of participation and discipline, rather than committing to the binary choice between embracing AI and completely avoiding it. Diversification should be viewed through multiple lenses. A chipmaker, a cloud provider, and a data-center lender can respond differently to technological change while still depending on the same spending cycle. Maintaining exposure to different industries, geographies, and sources of return can reduce reliance on a single outcome.

Valuation remains the final filter. Even excellent businesses can produce disappointing returns when their prices leave little room for execution risk or changing expectations. Investors should ask which assumptions about growth, margins, adoption, and capital intensity are necessary to justify current market pricing. The AI opportunity can continue to develop while the economic backdrop becomes less forgiving, but maintaining exposure without abandoning discipline will be paramount.

Outlook

The remainder of 2026 will bring multiple challenges for markets. The Fed will meet two more times before the calendar rolls over, and current market pricing suggests at least one more hike is still to come (though expectations have moved around quite a bit in recent weeks). The Fed remains in a difficult position, as the economy is being influenced by forces that sit somewhat outside the traditional framework of monetary policy. The secular AI infrastructure buildout continues to drive substantial capital expenditures across the technology ecosystem, providing a source of economic momentum that may be relatively insensitive to modest changes in borrowing costs. Simultaneously, geopolitical instability and higher energy prices are creating inflationary pressures that cannot be easily addressed through tighter financial conditions.

November's midterm elections also present uncertainty that markets must contend with. Republicans are clinging to a small majority in the House and Senate, but risk losing control of both. History suggests that the president's party tends to lose ground during mid-term election cycles, and prediction markets are currently suggesting that this cycle will not differ from the norm. The good news for investors is that markets tend to perform well after the conclusion of the midterm elections, bolstered by the clarity that follows. More importantly, the factors that ultimately drive long-term market returns remain intact. The economy continues to expand, employment conditions remain healthy, and corporate earnings growth continues to exceed expectations in many sectors. While the path forward is unlikely to be free of volatility, we continue to see reasons for cautious optimism as we enter the fourth quarter.

Disclosure

Advisory services offered through Veracity Capital, LLC, a registered investment adviser. Information presented is for educational purposes only and does not intend to make an offer or solicitation for the sale or purchase of any specific securities, investments, or investment strategies. Investments involve risk and, unless otherwise stated, are not guaranteed. Be sure to first consult with a qualified financial advisor and/or tax professional before implementing any strategy discussed herein. Past performance is not indicative of future performance.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance. Principal value and investment return will fluctuate. No guarantees or assurances that the target returns will be achieved, or objectives will be met, are implied. Future returns may differ significantly from past returns due to many different factors. Investments involve risk and the possibility of loss of principal. The values used in this report were obtained from sources believed to be reliable.

This information may be taken, in part, from external sources. We believe these external sources to be reliable, but no warranty is made as to accuracy. This material is not financial advice or an offer to sell any product. There is no guarantee of the future performance of any Veracity Capital, LLC, portfolio. The investment strategies discussed may not be suitable for all investors. Before investing, consider your investment objectives and Veracity Capital, LLC, charges and expenses. All investment strategies have the potential for profit or loss.

Benchmarks: The index / indices used by Veracity Capital, LLC, have not been selected to represent an appropriate benchmark to compare an investor's performance, but rather are disclosed for informational purposes. Detailed information regarding the indices is available upon request. The volatility of the indices may be materially different than that of the portfolio.

Veracity Capital, LLC, is a registered investment adviser. Registration does not imply a certain level of skill or training. More information about Veracity Capital, LLC, including its advisory services and fee schedule, can be found in Form ADV Part 2 which is available upon request.

Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only and does not represent the performance of any Veracity Capital, LLC, portfolio or strategy. Indices are unmanaged, do not incur management fees, transaction costs, or other expenses associated with an actively managed portfolio, and are not available for direct investment. Index returns do not reflect the deduction of advisory fees, which would reduce an investor's actual returns. Past performance of an index is not indicative of the future performance of any index or investment. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

The opinions expressed herein are those of Veracity Capital, LLC as of the date of publication and are subject to change without notice due to market or economic conditions. Any opinions, projections, or forward-looking statements are for general informational purposes only and may not necessarily come to pass. Charts and graphs presented herein are for illustrative purposes only and should not be relied upon as the sole basis for any investment decision.